	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	Аррисаціон но.	Applicant(s)
Notice of Allowability	09/616,842	LIN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Toan D. Nguyen	2616
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS (herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIGOT (of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this apport of the communication GHTS. This application is subject to	plication. If not included will be mailed in due course. THIS
1. This communication is responsive to <u>5/11/06</u> .		
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are 30-54, and 60-72 are renumbered 1-38, respectively.		
 3. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority units a) All b) Some* c) None of the: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have 	been received.	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this national stage application from the		
International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* Certified copies not received:		
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" of this communication to file a reply complying with the requirements noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONMENT of this application. THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.		
4. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submitted. Note the attached EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which gives reason(s) why the oath or declaration is deficient.		
5. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") must be submitted.		
(a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) attached		
1) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date		
(b) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examiner's Amendment / Comment or in the Office action of Paper No./Mail Date		
Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1.1 each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the	84(c)) should be written on the drawing the header according to 37 CFR 1.121(ngs in the front (not the back) of d).
6. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL must be submitted. Note the attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT FOR THE DEPOSIT OF BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL.		
Attachment(s)	5 District Office of	
1. Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		atent Application (PTO-152)
2. Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	 Interview Summary Paper No./Mail Dat 	
 Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No./Mail Date 	B), 7. ⊠ Examiner's Amendr	
Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material	8. 🛛 Examiner's Stateme	ent of Reasons for Allowance
	9. 🗌 Other	

Application/Control Number: 09/616,842 Page 2

Art Unit: 2616

DETAILED ACTION

EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT

1. An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with Mr. James A. Blanchette on July 24, 2006.

2. The application has been amended as follows:

IN THE CLAIMS:

In claim 44 line 2, the limitation "each output port" has been replaced by --- each of the output ports ---.

In claim 51 line 4, the limitation "supported by the apparatus" has been deleted.

In claim 70 line 1, the limitation "wherein probability" has been replaced by --- wherein the probability ---.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Regarding claim 30, the prior art fails to teach a combination of the step of:

C. a classifier configured to assign packets received by the input ports to X*Y classes of service, where * represents multiplication, and mapping the X*Y classes of service to the X classes of service that are supported by the router, the classifier assigning to the packet one of Y associated levels of priority, wherein each level of

priority is associated with a different probability of packet loss, in the specific combination as recited in the claim.

Regarding claim 37, the prior art fails to teach a combination of the step of:

D. a buffer subsystem configured to retain the packets in class of service per output port queues based on probabilities of discard associated with X*Y classes of service, where Y represents a number and * represents multiplication, in the specific combination as recited in the claim.

Regarding claim 45, the prior art fails to teach a combination of the step of:

means for retaining packets based on probabilities of discard associated with the

X*Y classes of service, in the specific combination as recited in the claim.

Regarding claim 50, the prior art fails to teach a combination of the step of:
receiving packets through one or more input ports and assigning the packets to

X*Y classes of service, where * represents multiplication, X represents a number of
classes of service and Y represents a number, in the specific combination as recited in
the claim.

Regarding claim 60, the prior art fails to teach a combination of the step of:

a port to receive packets, each packet having a field that indicates one of a
second number of classes of service, the second number of classes of service greater
than the first number of classes of service, in the specific combination as recited in the
claim.

Regarding claim 60 and claim 72, the prior art fails to teach a combination of the step of:

Art Unit: 2616

receiving packets, each packet having a field that indicates one of a second number of classes of service, the second number of classes of service greater than the first number of classes of service, in the specific combination as recited in the claims.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Toan D. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-3153. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:00AM-4:30PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Huy Vu can be reached on 571-272-3155. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Art Unit: 2616

TN) TN

HUY D. VU SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600